



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,937	09/900,937 07/09/2001		Akhter Akhterzzaman	LUC-309/Akhteruzzaman 37-	7473	
32205	7590	09/23/2005		EXAMINER		
PATTI &		LLE STREET	PEREZ, AN	PEREZ, ANGELICA		
44TH FLO		DDD UTREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO	, IL 606	02	2684	2684		
				DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/900,937	AKHTERZZAMAN E	T AI			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Perez M. Angelica	2684				
The MAILING DATE - Sali-						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress			
THE REPLY FILED 8//10/2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compact following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	<b>)</b> .					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened strabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO		•			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-33.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allows	ince pecalise.			
request is: received action has been considered by	at account to a place the application i	Jonanion for anowa	50000036.			

13. [] Other: \_\_\_\_.

See Continuation Sheet

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The application is found not to be in condition for allowance for the following reasons: the applicant argued: "...location information for one or more designted geographic areas is stored in a mobile communication device...user communication device and not infrastructure supporting equipment such as the ...(MTS)." the examiner would like to point where in page 3, lines 51-56 of Kowaguchi's reference, it is indicated where the table containing location information is located in the mobile terminal. The applicant further argues: "...Activation of an audible...inicator at the mobile station is prevented...receiving a first signal...from its supporting exchange..."... execution processor to the selection processor...part of the wireless infrastructure equipment and not part of the mobile communications device...", pages 5-11. The examiner would like to point where claim 28 does not specifically mention where the prevention of the indicator is executed from within the mobile station. It is not indicated where the prevention activation signal comes from; therefore, broadly interpretation of the claim allows Murayama's reference to read where, when the system is oveload, due to high traffic, the "disable condition" is activated (column 4, lines 47-54). In addition, Tomoike is a proper combination applied to Murayama nd Kowaguchi combination. The general invention refers to restriction mobile terminals when they are found in a high traffic location/situation...

Very 8/6/05

**EDAN ORGAD** PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.

4/16/05